15 September 1975

	MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Joint Computer Support	
TATSPEC .	SUBJECT: Meeting 11 September 1975, Regarding RAPID Project	
	REFERENCE: Memo to D/OJCS from dated 9 September 1975, same subject	STATINTL
	1. I attended the follow-on meeting discussed in the reference. Attending, in addition to those cited in the reference were:	
		STATINTL
ΓATSPEC	2. Further discussion was held on the proper course of action to take regarding schedule slippage on RAPID and a lack of technical and administrative information concerning progress to date. Two goals were paramount: 1) the Agency must protect its position legally in the event the contract goes into termination; 2) we must have adequate technical information provided so as to decide on a future course of action relative to this contract. Everyone involved agrees that it is not in the best interest of to cancel the contract at this time. What is desired is delivery of a system, not "victory" in a cancellation proceeding, even though that is almost assured.	
	3. The attachment is a draft (pending General Counsel concurrence) of a letter The first part requires "cure" of certain deficiencies and supports our case legally; the second part is intended to elicit	STATINTL
• a		

required information. We avoided putting in the cure list the most important aspects of the contract, namely adherence to certain deadlines of system test, cut-over and acceptance test requirements. We feel that these cannot possibly be met and since we wish to avoid cancellation if reasonable and possible, should be subject to negotiation. Once we have adequate technical information we can decide on a course of action, probably involving amending the contract.

STATSPEC

4. I concurred in the foregoing and recommend to you that OJCS support _____in the upcoming design review with two technical representatives.

		•			
ı					÷
ı					OT 4 TIVITI
ı					STATINTL
ı					
	Project	SAFE,	0JCS	. •	

ATTACHMENT: a/s

cc: USD/OJCS w/att
PD/SAFE w/att
ID COTR w/att

You are notified that the Government con ders your failure to Approved For Release 2002/05/07: CIA-RDP83T00573R000500130028-6 make progress in the following areas conditions that are endangering performance of the subject contract in accordance with its terms: Training: RFP 3.14 (p. 88) 2.16.2 (p. 98). STATINTL 1. Documentation: RFP 3.15 (p. 91) -.2. 2.17 (p. 103). STATINTL STATINTL Installation Schedule: RFP 4.5 (p. 120) 3. (p. 178). STATINTL Switch-Over Procedures: RFP 4.4.5 (p. 118) 6.5 4. (p. 174). 9.1 (p. 181). 5. Testing: RFP 5.1 (p. 123)

STATINTL'

STAT

Reporting Criteria: RFP 5.4 (p. 126) -6. 12.0 STATINTL (p. 189).

Evidence that "a fail safe back-up system" exists, p. 4 of Contract dated 27 June 1974.

Therefore, unless such conditions are cured within ten (10) days after receipt hereof the Government may terminate subject contract for default under Article 13 (a) (ii) of 1424 B (see attachment) and ASPR 7-103.11.

Because of the gravity and consequences of a decision to terminate for default, a thorough review of the entire contract is imperative in order to track progress to date and to ensure that progress is proceeding in a way which will satisfy the requirements of the Contrac (Site Visits, RFP 5.4.2 (p.127). In order to elicit such information, the "Minimum Requirements for Detailed Design and Status Review" has been attached. This list of questions should not be interpreted as exhaustive but merely as a set of benchmarks against which the entire range of project tasks can be enumerated. The Government will be avail able to receive and discuss your written responses to the "Minimum Requirements for Detailed Design and Status Review" at your facility. In addition to receipt of your written responses at that time, oral briefings on design review should also be given. If such a meeting is desired, notify the Government within ten (10) days after receipt of this not Approved For Release 2002/06/1979 Clared P3100573R000500130028-6n (15) days

after receipt of this potice.